CRITERION	VERY GOOD (5)	GOOD (4)	SATISFACTORY (3)	UNSATISFACTORY (2)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Formal criteria		· ''
Structure	The thesis is of adequate length and harmoniously divided into chapters or sections. The division corresponds to the objectives pursued. Key sections of the thesis (e.g., introduction or conclusion) are well structured and contain all required elements.	The thesis is of adequate length and divided into chapters or sections, but the division is not harmonious (e.g., too many short sections). The division corresponds to the objectives pursued. Key sections of the thesis (e.g., introduction or conclusion) are well structured and contain the vast majority of required elements.	The thesis is not of an adequate length. It is divided into chapters or sections, but the division is not harmonious (e.g., too many short sections). The structure of the thesis is not optimal from the point of the objectives pursued. Key parts of the thesis (e.g., introduction or conclusion) are structured rather correct and contain most of the required elements.	The thesis is not of an adequate length. Chapters or sections are not well defined, or the structure is not appropriate to the objectives pursued. Key sections (e.g., introduction or conclusions) have significant shortages.
Stylistic and linguistic correctness	The language is faultless. There are no spelling, grammar and punctuation errors. The style is formal, appropriate to academic papers, and the writing is factual.	The language is correct. Errors are rare and do not distort the reception of the text. Apart from a few errors, the style is formal, appropriate to academic papers, and the writing is factual.	The number of linguistic errors is significant but does not prevent the reception of the content. The style of expression in the thesis significantly deviates from the standards of scientific papers.	Numerous linguistic errors prevent comprehension of significant portions of the text. The style of expression is inappropriate for a scientific paper.
Formatting of text and graphic elements	The formatting is consistent throughout the text. It is clear and facilitates the reading of the thesis. Graphic elements are correctly presented and described. In particular, their titles and sources are given. In a thesis with a significant number of such objects, a list of graphic elements is provided.	Formatting is consistent throughout the text. Graphic elements are correctly presented and described. In particular, their titles and sources are given. A list of graphic elements is missing, although it is needed considering their number.	Formatting is rather consistent – existing inconsistencies do not impede the reception of the text. Graphic elements are not described uniformly, titles or sources are often missing. There is no list of graphic elements, although it is needed considering their number.	Formatting is inconsistent and makes the text difficult to understand. Graphic elements are described chaotically and nonuniformly.
Bibliography and footnotes	The footnotes and bibliography are uniform. All required elements were indicated in references to literature and other content. The thesis is well embedded in the literature and sources of information relevant to the subject matter. Both new and classic literature was used and reference was made to world literature - if the topic of the thesis made it possible.	The footnotes and bibliography are made relatively uniformly (with minor lapses). All required elements were indicated in references to literature and other content. The thesis is well embedded in the literature and sources of information relevant to the subject matter. References to recent or world literature are missing, although indicated.	Footnotes and bibliography are not uniform. Most references to literature and other content indicate required elements. The thesis uses literature and sources of information relevant to the subject matter, but some essential items were not mentioned. References to recent or world literature are missing, although indicated.	Footnotes and bibliography are not uniform. References to literature and other content lack the required elements. The thesis insufficiently uses the literature and information sources relevant to the subject matter.
	The problem analysed in the thesis is	Substantive criteria		
Research or practical problem	characterised by a high degree of difficulty or complexity. It is relevant for the field of study, important and contemporary. It has significant scientific or practical value. The problem is relatively new and has not yet been fully developed within the canon of knowledge adequate to the field of study.	The problem analysed in the thesis is characterised by a high degree of difficulty or complexity. It is relevant to the field of study and important. It has significant scientific or practical value. The problem is well recognised within the canon of knowledge adequate to the field of study.	The problem analysed in the thesis is relevant to the field of study but is not a difficult or complex one. The scientific or practical value of the problem is of little importance. The problem is well recognised within the canon of knowledge adequate to the field of study.	The problem analysed in the thesis is not relevant to the field of study.
Formulation of the objective	The aim of the thesis is defined in the introduction either explicitly or in the form of a concretised main hypothesis or research question. Its construction is correct. The aim is consistent with the subject of the thesis and correct in terms of the subject matter of the issues discussed.	The aim of the thesis is defined in the introduction either explicitly or in the form of a concretised main hypothesis or research question. Its construction contains minor errors, which, however, have no consequences for the reception of the thesis. The aim is consistent with the subject of the thesis and correct in terms of the subject matter of the issues discussed.	The aim of the thesis is not defined in any appropriate form in the introduction, but in the light of the context of the thesis, it is clear. The implicit aim is consistent with the thesis topic and correct in terms of the subject matter of the issues discussed.	The thesis does not have a defined aim, or it is not in line with the topic of the thesis or is incorrectly formulated.
Selection and application of methods	The methods used are optimal for the specifics of the thesis, and their selection is duly justified. These methods are innovative and appropriate for advanced studies. These methods were adequately described and applied without deficiencies.	The methods used are appropriate to the specifics of the thesis, and their selection is duly justified. These methods are rather innovative and undoubtedly suitable for advanced studies. These methods are described and applied with minor flaws but do not falsify the obtained results.	The methods used are appropriate to the specifics of the thesis but not innovative or advanced. These methods are described and applied with significant flaws which, however, do not falsify the obtained results in a fundamental and completely unacceptable manner.	The methods used are incorrect for the specifics of the thesis. The methods are described and applied with significant flaws that falsify the obtained results in a fundamental and completely unacceptable manner.
Consistency and logic of the argument	The argument in the thesis is consistent and clear. Subsequent sentences and paragraphs follow from previous ones. All assumptions, conditions, definitions of key terms, methods of notation, etc., are stated directly and unambiguously, and their application is consistent. The author provides a critical discussion of assumptions and is able to demonstrate their impact on the manner of inference. Any changes, e.g., in the form of revocation an assumption, are clearly indicated. Reasoning and inference are carried out under the rules of logic.	assumptions, conditions, definitions of terms, methods of notation, etc., are clearly stated, and their application is rather consistent. However, their discussion is not complete. The author is able to identify assumptions that are crucial to the construction of the argument and duly emphasises their importance. Possible minor deviations do not interfere with the understanding of the argument and do not distort	elements, which do not interfere with understanding the general idea of the argument but prevent the full understanding of some threads or fragments of the text. Significant changes, e.g., in the form of revocation of an assumption, are signalled in an inappropriate	The argument is inconsistent. A considerable number of assumptions, conditions, definitions of terms, descriptions of notation methods, etc., have not been mentioned and their application is inconsistent. Significant changes in this respect are not signalled. There are significant logical flaws that make the argument incomprehensible.
Exhaustion of the topic or the degree of solution to the problem	The topic has been fully exhausted. All its important aspects have been addressed. Where necessary, all critical theoretical approaches and concepts have been referred to. A complete discussion of the results has been presented. The results are original and in themselves make a noteworthy contribution to science. For the empirical thesis, a fully functional solution has been proposed, comprehensively covering all the most important aspects of the problem contained in the paper. The thesis also includes a full usable description of the above-mentioned practical solution (e.g., in the form of a comment to the code).	The topic has been mostly exhausted. Most of its important aspects have been addressed. Where necessary, main theoretical approaches and concepts have been referred to. At least a partial discussion of the results has been presented. The results allow referring to the recent studies related to the topic of the paper. For empirical thesis, a functional solution has been proposed, covering at least some of the most important aspects of the problem contained in the thesis. The thesis also includes at least a partial usable description of the above-mentioned practical solution (e.g., in the form of a comment to the code).	The topic has been largely exhausted. The most important aspects have been addressed. Where necessary, selected theoretical approaches and concepts have been referred to. In an empirical thesis, a solution has been proposed, which, however, is characterised by incomplete functionality and omits certain aspects of the implemented problem. The usability description of the mentioned practical solution (e.g., in the form of a comment to the code) is incomplete.	The subject has not been exhausted. Some key threads have been ignored. In the case of a theoretical-scientific thesis, not all critical concepts have not been covered. For the empirical thesis, the proposed solution is not functional.
Interpretation of results and conclusions	The conclusions are relevant to and based on the analyses carried out. Conclusions are concrete and the degree of generalisation is well chosen. Findings have a clear and prominent application value (e.g., in the form of recommendations, acceptance or rejection of a hypothesis, or a practical solution). The conclusions are part of the contemporary discourse. They are original and innovative.	Conclusions are relevant and based on the analyses carried out. Conclusions are concrete, although some are too generalised. Findings have some application value (e.g., in the form of recommendations, acceptance or rejection of a hypothesis, or a practical solution). The conclusions are part of the contemporary discourse.	Conclusions are relevant and based on the analyses carried out. Conclusions are vague and over-generalised. They lack application value (e.g., in the form of recommendations, acceptance or rejection of a hypothesis, or a practical solution). I. Conclusions do not refer to the current state of discussion on the problem.	The conclusions presented in the thesis do not follow the analyses carried out.

The above criteria are a generalised reference point. Each assessment is made individually and depends on factors such as the course of study or the specific subject matter of the thesis.

In particular, if the Supervisor or Reviewer considers that the correct grade should be the one between two adjacent ones in the table above, they may use half marks as scores under the individual grading criteria. If the partial assessment in at least one criterion is unsatisfactory, the thesis receives an unsatisfactory grade regardless of the results in other criteria.

* An additional criterion is not obligatory and depends on the nature of the work. If an additional criterion is not used, the field with a partial score should be left empty.